

# Why Does America Need Foreign Enemies When It Already Has the Democrats?

## AN “APOCALYPSE” IN THE MAKING

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2019



*Rep. [Veronica Escobar](#) is serving her first term in Congress. Will it be her last?*

(Jul. 8, 2019) — There are at least 1776 reasons (get it...?) why every single Democrat politician who is up for re-election in 2020 – whether in Congress, in a state office or a municipal office ... and particularly in the U.S. House of Representatives – needs to be voted out before the nation is completely destroyed by the Democrats’ suicidal policies and, in particular, their irrational (some would argue psychotic) defense of uncontrolled illegal immigration.

Reason no. 707 (today’s date) comes in the form of this [nugget](#). The actions of this congressional insect typify the transferal of hatred for President Trump into hatred for the United States of America itself.

It now appears that Rep. Veronica Escobar (D. TX, CD 16) – Robert “Beto” O’Rourke’s old district – has been actively assisting purported “asylum-seekers” to re-enter the United States after having been sent back to Mexico under the “stay-in-Mexico-while-your-“asylum”-claim-is-reviewed” protocols established by President Trump. These procedures, of course, have been necessitated by the Democrats’ abject – and oftentimes gleeful – refusal to do anything remotely related to securing the southern border. Escobar’s staffers have apparently been caught going into Mexico and “tutoring” various “asylum-seekers” on how to get back into the United States by... wait for it... wait for it... pretending that they don’t understand Spanish.

Seriously. These “asylum-seekers” are being coached by Escobar’s staffers to fake that they do not understand Spanish – within days of telling Border Patrol agents... in Spanish... that they are seeking asylum – in order to be allowed back into the U.S., because fluency in Spanish is one of the criteria necessary for application of the “stay-in-Mexico” protocol. Disgraceful. Un-American. Possibly felonious. But oh-so-typical of the Democrats. Memo to Attorney General Barr: you cannot fix stupid..., but you can prosecute crimes.

And, BTW, anyone who celebrates the passage of the \$4.6 billion emergency appropriation bill to address the “humanitarian crisis” at the border, with zero dollars for increased security, simply (a) does not understand the problem; (b) does not *want* to understand the problem; or (c) both. Instead, that emergency appropriation will only encourage and facilitate even larger numbers of people to knowingly break our immigration laws and flood into the country. All to the Democrats’ delight. Look for future emergency appropriations in the scores of billions to address future “humanitarian needs.”

The appropriation is a band-aid which will do absolutely *nothing* to stem the tide of illegal immigrants – now coming from [around the world](#) and with little or no screening for [disease](#). We halt entire shipments of Mexican spinach if someone in Abilene gets sick from salmonella, but we blindly allow fraudulent “asylum-seekers” not only into the country at the rate of 100,000 per month, we transport them to the interior... where they disappear. Who knew?

Next thing you know, the Democrats – led by Comrade AOC – will be demanding that President Trump commandeer all U.S. flagged cargo ships, cruise ships and military vessels to begin transporting anyone worldwide who simply wants to come here. Food, clothing, medical care and recreational activities, of course, would also be demanded as the “asylum-seekers” traverse the Atlantic and the Pacific on their way to ports in Veracruz, Cancun, Monterrey, Mazatlán, Acapulco and Ensenada.

Once they have arrived, the only remaining question would be whether the \$1,000/mo. stipend for “Americans” proposed by Democrat presidential candidate [Andrew Yang](#) would also need to include a similar “asylum/refugee stipend,” since the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment guarantees equal protection of the laws to “persons” and not just “citizens.”

Rest assured, while Yang has zero chance of securing his party's nomination, such a proposal could become almost a certainty if a Democrat (*e.g.*, Bernie Sanders; Elizabeth Warren; or Kirsten Gillibrand) were elected president in 2020 and/or the House remained under Democrat control or..., shudder... the Senate went blue. And with the assistance of the ACLU – and a lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court in San (“watch-out-for-that-syringe”) Francisco – such a mandated “equal protection” stipend would not be altogether out of the question in a Democrat-controlled administration and Democrat-controlled Congress.

And if that apocalypse were to take place, get set for the one word no one *ever* thought would be muttered when referring to the resulting deficit and the debt: *quadrillion*. Billion? Trillion? Fageddaboutit... those terms are soooo 2019....

Memo to the electorate: vote very, *very* carefully in 2020, as if the future of your nation – and your wallet – depended on it. Because it does.